SPEECH AND LANGUAGE EVALUATION FORM

Important Information About Our Form

We are using this form to address our documentation requirements and to obtain standardized responses based on our program guidelines.

- 1) All evaluations must be performed by the licensed/certified Speech-Language Pathologist (SLP).
- 2) The evaluation must be performed in the child's **primary language if it is English or Spanish**. (If the SLP is not Spanish speaking and is unable to conduct the evaluation in Spanish, please call the scheduling analyst to reschedule the examination.)
 - If the child's primary language is not English or Spanish, a professional interpreter must be used to assist with the evaluation and noted in designated area. If a professional interpreter does not appear for the exam, immediately contact the analyst. If the analyst is not immediately available, or our support staff cannot redirect you to someone who can assist you, then postpone the exam and leave a message for the analyst to contact you with further instructions.
- 3) If the SLP is not familiar with the evaluation of very young children, this must be communicated to us. Please call the scheduling analyst to reschedule the examination.
- 4) Test results must include interpretations with standardized references reported (i.e., Standard Scores). Please include completed test protocol scoring reports. Validity of testing must be noted. If unable to complete standardized testing (despite attempts to do so), please explain why standardized testing was not possible. Include a detailed description of all communication efforts, the informal assessment modes used, and your assessment in the space provided at the end of the form.
- 5) All items must be fully completed and detailed. (However, if a question does not apply to the child because of the child's chronological age, please note as such). If you would like to give additional information and the space provided on the form is not adequate, please attach the additional information on separate sheets. Please return the completed form in the self-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided (or, if a self-addressed, postage-paid label was provided, please use the enclosed label).

THANK YOU!

Claimant Name:		
SDEECH AND I A	NCHACE EVALUATI	ON INFANTS AND CHILDREN
		ON - INFANTS AND CHILDREN
DDS Case #	DDS Contract #	SSN: Date of Exam:
Date of Birth.	Chronologic	al Age:
Primary language of the	e claimant [.]	
Language spoken in th	e home:	
Child also speaks in wh	nat other languages?	Fluently □ Partially □
Language in which test	ing was given:	
Was a Professional Inte	ing was given:erpreter used in testing of	child? Yes □ No □
BACKGROUND/HI	STORY:	
		al problems, feeding problems, history
	ring loss, PE tubes, heari	ng aids, family history of
communication probler	ns.)	
Davidonmental History		
Developmental History	•	
Participation in previou	s/current S/L therapy, site	e/provider, length of treatment and
progress made:	or controller = 11.0.0.py, 0.10	
p 9		
	FIONS AND EXAMINATION	
		onal voice? Yes □ No □
	exam within last 12 month	is? Yes □ No □
If yes, where and w	hen?	
_	s, were aids used at time	of evaluation? Yes □ No □
If not, why not?		and all O Mac II No II
_	s, does child wear them re	eguiariy? Yes LI NO LI
If not, why not?	linical observations of shill	d's communicative behavior, and
		a 3 communicative benavior, and
interactions with both c	aretaker and examiner:	

No Speech ☐ OR:				T
	Present and		Present But	Not Applicable
Vocalizations - Cooing	Frequent		Infrequent	
Babbling				
Jargon				
Gestures				
Single Words				
2-3 Word Phrases				
Simple Sentences				
Complex Sentences				
	•	•		•
SPEECH PRODUCTION		1	T	
	Typical	Not Typical	Cor	nments
A () 1 () () 1	for Age	for Age		
Articulation/phonology				
Vocal pitch, quality				
and intensity				
Fluency				
If child is under 3 years	<u>):</u>			
Is child unusually quiet?			2 Vac □ Na □	
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate I	imited soun	d production		to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate Is child capable of productions.	imited soun cing consor	ld production nant-vowel re	pertoire sufficient t	to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate Is child capable of productive development of expressions.	imited soun cing consor	ld production nant-vowel re	pertoire sufficient t	to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate Is child capable of productions.	imited soun cing consor	ld production nant-vowel re	pertoire sufficient t	to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate Is child capable of productive development of expression Yes No No No No No No No N	imited soun cing consor ve language	ld production nant-vowel re	pertoire sufficient t	to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate Is child capable of productive development of expressions.	imited soun cing consor ve language	ld production nant-vowel re	pertoire sufficient t	to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate Is child capable of productive development of expression Yes No No No No No No No N	imited soun cing consor ve language	ld production nant-vowel re	pertoire sufficient t	to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate I Is child capable of production development of expression Yes No Please explain any abno For ALL Children:	imited soun cing consor ve language rmalities:	ld production nant-vowel re	pertoire sufficient t	to support the
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate I Is child capable of productive development of expression in the second	imited soun cing consor ve language rmalities: ation:	id production nant-vowel re e appropriate	pertoire sufficient t for age?	
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate I Is child capable of production development of expression Yes No Please explain any abnotic Por ALL Children: Oral Peripheral Examine Structure - Within Norm	imited soun cing consor ve language rmalities: ation:	id production nant-vowel re e appropriate	pertoire sufficient t for age?	
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate I Is child capable of production development of expression Yes No Please explain any abnotic Por ALL Children: Oral Peripheral Examine Structure - Within Norm	imited soun cing consor ve language rmalities: ation:	id production nant-vowel re e appropriate	pertoire sufficient t for age?	
Is child unusually quiet? Does child demonstrate I Is child capable of productive development of expression in the second	imited soun cing consor ve language rmalities: ation:	id production nant-vowel re e appropriate	pertoire sufficient t for age?	

Claimant Name:
Spontaneous Speech Production: Please indicate the intelligibility of the child's spontaneous speech on the first attempt
with contextual clues: 1/2 of time or less □ Between 1/2 and 2/3 of time □ Over 2/3 of time □ For children 5 years and over, note the same without contextual clues 1/2 of time or less □ Between 1/2 and 2/3 of time □ Over 2/3 of time □ Does intelligibility improve upon imitation and repetition? Yes □ No □ If yes, and child is age 5 or older, to what percent does it improve in conversational speech?%
Speech Production Testing Please name tests used and include any specific results (i.e., standard scores if test provides). Further details of intelligibility may also be included here:
Describe substitutions, omissions, distortions:
Are substitutions, omissions, distortions typical/developmentally appropriate for age? Yes No If atypical, please describe:
Are the phonological patterns/processes typical or developmentally appropriate for age? Yes No If atypical, please describe:
LANGUAGE: Please name tests and editions used and include any specific results. Provide Standard Scores for subtests, composite scores, and total language scores. (If graphs or normed tables of results are available, please include a copy.)
Mean Length of Utterance (MLU) in language sample/spontaneous conversation?
s MLU within appropriate range for age? Yes □ No □
f inappropriate, for which age range would MLU be appropriate?
Comments on receptive and expressive language skills in comparison to same age peers:

Comments on spontaneous language sample in comparison to same age peers:

Claimant Name:
Pragmatic Skills For child under 3 years old: Is the primary mode of communication verbal □ or nonverbal □? What is total number of words in his/her vocabulary (regardless of clarity) based on your clinical observation? Is this appropriate for age? Yes □ No □ Is the range of communicative intentions(i.e., labeling, requesting, socializing) expressed appropriately for age? Yes □ No □ Does child engage in verbal/nonverbal turn-taking appropriate for age? Yes □ No □ Does child maintain eye contact? Yes □ No □ If no to any of the above, please explain:
For child 3 years and over: Does child establish and maintain conversation appropriate for age? Yes □ No □ Does child engage in verbal/nonverbal turn-taking appropriate for age? Yes □ No □ Is development of narrative skill appropriate for age? Yes □ No □ Is syntactic usage in spontaneous conversation appropriate for age? Yes □ No □
Is a full range of communicative intentions (i.e. requesting, responding, directing, commenting, labeling, stating, describing, informing) appropriate for age? Yes □ No □
Does he/she identify and repair miscommunications appropriately for age? Yes □ No □
If no to any of the above, please explain:
TESTING VALIDITY:
Was testing valid? Yes □ No □ Unable to test □ If results of standardized testing were invalid, or if you were unable to use standardized testing (despite attempts to do so), please include a detailed description of the informal assessment modes used and the subsequent results. Include an explanation of why testing was not possible or not valid.

CI	aimant Name:
TE	STING VALIDITY (continued):
	·
	esting could not be performed or was not valid, indicate your estimation of the child's guage level:
	equivalent to up to 2 Standard Deviations below mean for chronological age
	equivalent to 2 but less than 3 Standard Deviations below mean for chronological age
	equivalent to 3 or more Standard Deviations below mean for chronological age
and dev	sed on the test results and your clinical observations, is it likely that the speech d/or language disorder (delay) will affect this child's learning and/or social velopment? Yes No ase explain and describe to what degree:
lang	es this child's language test profile reflect his/her everyday language skills (i.e., oral guage skills, language-learning skills) or school language skills (i.e., literacy skills, talinguistic skills) or a combination of these? Yes \(\subseteq\) No \(\subseteq\) If no, please explain:
	re there any notable inconsistencies between testing results and functional aracteristics of communications? Yes □ No □ If yes, please describe:
Dia	gnoses:
Spe	eech-Language Pathologist Signature:
	eech-Language Pathologist (print name): clude degree, national certification, state license as applicable.)